Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/576,049	BECK ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Ginger T. Chapman	3761
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>Ginger T. Chapman</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>James J. Napoli</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 15 June 2011	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: 35 USC 103(a)		
Claims discussed:		
Prior art documents discussed:  Tsubakimoto (US 4286082)		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</li> </ul>		
/Ginger T Chapman/ Examiner, Art Unit 3761 (A	Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: During a telephone call with Applicants' representative, the features of the hydrophobicized silica, multivalent cation, solidification time and blood absorbance were discussed; authorization was given by Applicants' representative to incorporate the limitations of claim 2 into the body of claim 1; rejoinder of previously restricted process claims with allowable product claim.